### <span id="page-0-0"></span>**MLR: Diagnostic Plots and Multicollinearity**

#### BIOS 6611

CU Anschutz

Week 10







#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**[Linear Regression Assumptions Revisited](#page-2-0)**

## **(Multiple) Linear Regression Assumptions**

**Existence:** For any combination of  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_k$ , Y is a random variable with a certain probability distribution having finite mean and variance.

**Independence:** The Y-values are statistically independent of one another.

**Linearity:** The mean value of Y for each combination of  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_k$  is a linear function of  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_k$ .

**Homoscedasticity:** The variance of  $Y$  ( $\sigma_{Y|X_1,X_2,...,X_k}^2$ ) is the same for any fixed combination of  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_k$ .

**Normal Distribution:** For any fixed combination of  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_k$ , the residuals are normally distributed.

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**[Regression Diagnostic Plots](#page-4-0)**

### **Regression Diagnostic Plots**

Many of the same plots we introduced for simple linear regression can be used for multiple linear regression, with a few additional considerations:

- Y-X scatterplot  $\rightarrow$  partial regression plot
- Scatterplot of the residuals and  $X \rightarrow$  scatterplot of  $\hat{Y}$  and residuals
- Histogram of the residuals
- PP or QQ plot of the residuals

We used **jackknife residuals** in our plots, but other types of residuals can also be used.

## **Partial Regression Plot**

This will replace our Y-X scatterplot from simple linear regression. A **partial regression plot** (also known as a partial plot, added variable plot, or adjusted variable plot) characterizes the relationship between the dependent variable  $(Y)$  and an independent variable  $(X)$ , adjusting for other covariates in the model  $(C_1, C_2, ..., C_k)$ .

We can calculate the partial regression plot by following 3 steps:

- **1** Perform a regression of Y on  $C_1, C_2, ..., C_k$  and save the observed residuals.
- **2** Perform a regression of X on  $C_1, C_2, ..., C_k$  and save the observed residuals.
- **3** Plot the residuals from step (1) and step (2).

#### **Partial Regression Plot Example**

```
fev <- read.csv('FEV_rosner.csv')
mod1 <- glm( fev ~ age + height, data=fev )
# Partial Plot for Age
age_step1 <- glm(fev ~ height, data=fev)
age_step2 <- glm(age ~ height, data=fev)
plot(x=residuals(age_step2), y=residuals(age_step1),
     main='Partial Plot for Age', ylab='Partial Dependent Residual',
     xlab='Partial Regressor Residual')
# Add SLR line to show slope
abline(lm(residuals(age_step1) ~ residuals(age_step2)))
# Partial Plot for Height
height_step1 <- glm(fev ~ age, data=fev)
height_step2 <- glm(height ~ age, data=fev)
plot(x=residuals(height_step2), y=residuals(height_step1),
     main='Partial Plot for Height', ylab='Partial Dependent Residual',
     xlab='Partial Regressor Residual')
# Add SLR line to show slope
abline(lm(residuals(height_step1) ~ residuals(height_step2)))
```
#### **Partial Regression Plot Example**



Partial Regressor Residual

**BIOS 6611 (CU Anschutz) [MLR: Diagnostic Plots and Multicollinearity](#page-0-0) Week 10 9 / 18**

### **Partial Regression Plot Example**

The slope of the partial plot will be the same as the slope of  $X$  in the MLR model of Y on  $X, C_1, C_2, ..., C_k$ . In other words, a simple linear regression of the residuals from step (1) on step (2) will result in the same estimate of  $\hat{\beta}_X$  from the MLR model.

**round**(**summary**(**glm**( fev **~** age **+** height, data=fev ))**\$**coefficients,4)







**BIOS 6611 (CU Anschutz) [MLR: Diagnostic Plots and Multicollinearity](#page-0-0) Week 10 10 / 18**

# **Scatterplot of** Yˆ **and Residuals**

This will replace our scatterplot of the residuals by  $X$ . By plotting the scatterplot of our residuals by  $\hat{Y}$  we can account for the relationship amongst all our independent variables,  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_k$ .

We can use this plot to check similar assumptions regarding linearity and homoscedasticity that we evaluated before:

- Do the residuals appear to jump around a residual of 0 for all values of  $\hat{Y}$  (linearity assumption)
- Do the residuals form a horizontal band around the 0 line? (homoscedasticity assumption)
- Do any points seem to be extremely large/small? (potential outliers, more on this later!)

## **Scatterplot of**  $\hat{Y}$  **and Residuals Example**

```
par(mar=c(4.1,4.1,1.1,1.1))
plot(x=predict(mod1), y=rstudent(mod1),
     xlab='Predicted Value', ylab='Jackknife Residual')
abline(h=0, lty=2)
```


## **Scatterplot of**  $\hat{Y}$  and Residuals Example

```
mod2 <- glm( log(fev) ~ age + height, data=fev)
plot( x=predict(mod2), y=rstudent(mod2),
     xlab='Predicted Value', ylab='Jackknife Residual')
abline(h=0, lty=2)
```


### <span id="page-13-0"></span>**[Multicollinearity](#page-13-0)**

## **Multicollinearity**

**Collinearity** is where we have **two** explanatory variables with a linear association.

**Multicollinearity** is where we have **two or more** explanatory variables that are highly linearly related.

In general, this suggests we have highly correlated predictors. At the extreme, one predictor may be a linear combination of other predictors (e.g.,  $X_3 = 2X_1 - X_2$ ).

This is problematic for a few reasons:

- It can be difficult to determine the true effect of each predictor on the outcome.
- It can lead to poorly estimated coefficients and standard errors (i.e., misleading p-values or confidence intervals).
- The overall F-test may provide a significant result even if each individual predictor is not significant.

### **Evaluating Multicollinearity**

The **variance inflation factor (VIF)** is often used to measure collinearity in the context of multiple linear regression. It is computed for the  $j^\textit{th}$ predictor variable as:

$$
\mathsf{VIF}_j = \frac{1}{1 - R_j^2}
$$

where  $R^2_j$  is the coefficient of determination based on regressing  $\lambda_j$  as the outcome on the remaining  $k - 1$  predictors.

A rule of thumb is to be concerned with a VIF *>* 10, which corresponds to an  $R_j^2 > 0.9$ .

## **Addressing Multicollinearity**

If a VIF indicates multicollinearity. . .

- Consider if it makes sense (interaction or polynomial terms are expected to be correlated without additional transformations)
- Choose variable with largest adjusted R squared in the model
- **•** Create a new variable where appropriate (BMI from height and weight)
- PCA of the variables (for cases with a larger  $#$  of covariates)

#### <span id="page-17-0"></span>**Multicollinearity Examples**

**library**(car) *# load car package for vif()*

```
mod_vif1 <- glm( fev ~ age + height + sex + smoke, data=fev)
vif(mod_vif1)
```
## age height sex smoke ## 3.019010 2.829728 1.060228 1.209564 mod\_vif2  $\leftarrow$  glm( fev  $\sim$  age +  $I(\text{age}^2)$  + height, data=fev) **vif**(mod\_vif2)

```
## age I(age^2) height
## 43.234975 34.050491 3.336106
fev$newvar <- 3*fev$height + sqrt(fev$age)
mod_vif3 <- glm( fev ~ age + sex + height + newvar, data=fev)
vif(mod_vif3)
```
## age sex height newvar ## 9.958277e+01 1.064032e+00 1.457695e+05 1.518023e+05